

HEALTH AND WELLBEING BOARD COMMISSIONING SUB-COMMITTEE

14 DECEMBER 2016

| | |
|---|--|
| | Report for Resolution |
| Title: | Utilisation of Disabled Facilities Grant |
| Lead officer(s): | Maria Principe, Director of Cluster Development and Performance Katy Ball, Director of Procurement and Commissioning |
| Author and contact details for further information: | Clare Gilbert clare.gilbert@nottinghamcity.gov.uk Rasool Gore rasool.gore@nottinghamcity.gov.uk |
| Brief summary: | The report seeks agreement around the utilisation of the Disabled Facilities Grant. |
| Is any of the report exempt from publication? <i>If yes, include reason</i> | No |

Recommendation to the Health and Wellbeing Board Commissioning Sub-Committee:

The Health and Wellbeing Board Commissioning Sub-Committee is asked to:

- 1) approve the utilisation of the Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) totalling £1.889m for Major Adaptations.
- 2) note the Council's proposed contributions towards spend on Major Adaptations and the Integrated Community Equipment and Loans Service.

Contribution to Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy:

| Health and Wellbeing Strategy aims and outcomes | Summary of contribution to the Strategy |
|---|--|
| Aim: To increase healthy life expectancy in Nottingham and make us one of the healthiest big cities | Through the provision of major adaptations, citizens will be enabled to live in their own homes and to participate in their communities. |
| Aim: To reduce inequalities in health by targeting the neighbourhoods with the lowest levels of healthy life expectancy | |
| Outcome 1: Children and adults in Nottingham adopt and maintain healthy lifestyles | |
| Outcome 2: Children and adults in Nottingham will have positive mental wellbeing and those with long-term mental health problems will have good physical health | |
| Outcome 3: There will be a healthy culture in Nottingham in which citizens are supported and empowered to live healthy lives and manage ill health well | |
| Outcome 4: Nottingham's environment will be sustainable – supporting and enabling its | |

| | |
|---|--|
| citizens to have good health and wellbeing | |
| How mental health and wellbeing is being championed in line with the Health and Wellbeing Board's aspiration to give equal value to mental and physical health | |
| Not applicable | |

| | |
|---|---|
| Reason for the decision: | The value of the DFG increased in 2016/17 |
| Total value of the decision: | £1.889 million |
| Financial implications and comments: | <p>Recommendation 1 of this report requests approval for the full value of the DFG grant to be allocated to spend on major adaptations.</p> <p>Recommendation 2 and section 2.4 of the main report is for information purposes only and it should be noted that approvals for these elements will align to the appropriate council governance process.</p> |
| Procurement implications and comments (including where relevant social value implications): | The major adaptations service is a City Council service |
| Other implications and comments, including legal, risk management, crime and disorder: | <p><u>Legal</u></p> <p>This report raises no significant legal issues provided the grant funding is used for the purposes for which it is awarded.</p> |
| Equalities implications and comments: <i>(has an Equality Impact Assessment been completed? If not, why?)</i> | There is no significant change to the service provision |
| Published documents referred to in the report: <i>e.g. legislation, statutory guidance, previous Sub Committee reports and minutes</i> | Housing Grants, Construction and Regeneration Act 1996 |
| Background papers relied upon in writing the report: <i>Documents which disclose important facts or matters on which the decision has been based and have been relied on to a material extent in preparing the decision. This does not include any published works e.g. previous Board reports or any exempt documents.</i> | None |
| Other options considered and rejected: | <p>To maintain the current level of the Council capital allocation in addition to the increased DFG for the funding of Major Adaptations.</p> <p>The infrastructure is currently not in place for the Major Adaptations team to utilise the whole grant. In addition, the lack of funding to ICELS would create a financial pressure for the Council.</p> <p>To maintain the current level of the Council capital allocation in addition to the</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | increased DFG and to utilise the additional monies to fund related projects such as assistive technology. There is insufficient time to utilise the funds effectively within alternative services. |
|--|--|